SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Springfield State: MO

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$17,866,862 PJ's Size Grouping*: B PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 7 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 99.64 % | 96.30 % | 2 | 95.51 % | 91 | 89 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 94.00 % | 89.63 % | 1 | 87.58 % | 82 | 82 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.49 | 4.45 | 0 | 4.86 | 6 | 9 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 92.48 % | 1 | 82.17 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 94.27 % | 70.05 % | 1 | 71.17 % | 92 | 91 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 69.67 % | 69.86 % | 4 | 81.54 % | 13 | 17 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 24.42 % | 32.86 % | 3 | 45.68 % | 13 | 15 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.71 % | 97.84 % | 3 | 96.19 % | 42 | 44 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 5 / 7 | Nation | nally: 33 | 39 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$47,694 | \$25,213 | | \$27,510 | 389 Units | 88.80 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$8,377 | \$12,359 | | \$15,239 | 47 Units | 10.70 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$47,525 | \$11,847 | | \$20,932 | 2 Units | 0.50 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,442 | | \$3,121 | 0 Units | 0.00 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ |): Springfield | | | 1 | MO | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) | PJ: State:* National:** | | \$65,947 \$115,496 \$98,643 | \$72,325 \$83,053 \$77,150 | Hon | \$49,765 \$15,895 \$23,791 | | ting Expenses: f allocation) Cost Index: 0 | PJ: National | | i.5 % .2 % |
| RACE: White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islande American Indian/Alaska Native Asian and White: Black/African American and W American Indian/Alaska Native Other Multi Racial: Asian/Pacific Islander: ETHNICITY: | : er: and White: hite: | Rental % 65.0 28.5 0.3 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 95.7 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Homeowner % 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | Singl Eldel Rela | uted/Single Parent: | Rental Hot % 8.2 6.9 40.1 26.7 18.0 | mebuyer | Homeowner % 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| Hispanic | | 4.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: 1 Person: 2 Persons: 3 Persons: 4 Persons: 5 Persons: 6 Persons: 7 Persons: 8 or more Persons: * The State average includes all leading to the state of the size of the s | [[[[] ocal and the Sta | 14.7 14.9 26.7 24.7 12.1 5.4 1.0 0.5 | 44.7 23.4 21.3 6.4 2.1 2.1 0.0 0.0 | 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | Section HOMIOther No As | E TBRA: | 15.4 0.0 6.2 78.4 | 0.0 | ts Since 2001 | 3 |
| ** The National average includes | | | | | | | | | | | |

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

Page 2

- HOME PROGRAM -**SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities


State: Springfield MO **Group Rank:** 33 **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

State Rank: **Overall Rank:**

39 (Percentile) Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags **Summary:**

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.95% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 54.12% | 94.27 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 69.67 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.11% | 98.71 | |
| "ALLOCATION-\ | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.750 | 1.01 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.